



Australia's National
Science Agency

GISERA | Gas Industry Social and Environmental Research Alliance

Progress report

Beetaloo basin shale long-term competency after decommissioning



Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science,
Energy and Resources



Supported by
Government of
South Australia



Progress against project milestones

Progress against milestones/tasks are approved by the GISERA Director, acting with authority in accordance with the [GISERA Alliance Agreement](#).

Progress against project milestones/tasks is indicated by two methods: Traffic light reports and descriptive Project schedule reports.

1. Traffic light reports in the Project Schedule Table below show progress using a simple colour code:

- **Green:**

- Milestone fully met according to schedule.
- Project is expected to continue to deliver according to plan.
- Milestone payment is approved.

- **Amber:**

- Milestone largely met according to schedule.
- Project has experienced delays or difficulties that will be overcome by next milestone, enabling project to return to delivery according to plan by next milestone.
- Milestone payment is withheld.
- Milestone payment withheld for second of two successive amber lights; project review initiated and undertaken by GISERA Director.

- **Red:**

- Milestone not met according to schedule.
- Problems in meeting milestone are likely to impact subsequent project delivery, such that revisions to project timing, scope or budget must be considered.
- Milestone payment is withheld.
- Project review initiated by GISERA Director.

2. Progress Schedule Reports outline task objectives and outputs and describe, in the 'progress report' section, the means and extent to which progress towards tasks has been made.

Project schedule table

| TASK NUMBER | TASK DESCRIPTION | SCHEDULED START | SCHEDULED FINISH | COMMENT |
|-------------|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Literature review of the concept of shale barriers, experimental studies, and possible stimulation mechanisms | 15 Aug 2022 | 15 Oct 2022 | Complete |
| 2 | Acquire the shale core samples from the Beetaloo basin and quantify the shale mineralogy and chemoporomechanical properties | 15 Sep 2022 | 15 Jan 2023 | Complete |
| 3 | Perform triaxial creep tests under different downhole conditions to characterize Beetaloo shale behaviour | 15 Nov 2022 | 15 Jul 2023 | Progressing, on track |
| 4 | Results interpretations | 15 May 2023 | 15 Aug 2023 | |
| 5 | Develop a decommissioned well leakage simulator to bound potential contaminant flux over the long-term | 15 Mar 2023 | 15 Jul 2023 | |
| 6 | Define key long-term decommissioned well integrity concepts such as timescale, and contamination grade | 15 Jun 2023 | 15 Jul 2023 | |
| 7 | Update decommissioned well leakage simulator with Beetaloo shale properties | 15 Jul 2023 | 15 Oct 2023 | |
| 8 | Project reporting | 15 May 2023 | 15 Nov 2023 | |
| 9 | Communicate findings to stakeholders | Project duration | | |

Project schedule report

TASK 1: Literature Review

BACKGROUND

Stimulating and activating the shale can happen through temperature and pressure changes imposed on the shales and also utilizing some chemicals. Chemical activation might be more straightforward than imposing temperature changes in field applications. The chemical solutions are circulated through the annular space by casing perforations with a workstring and packer arrangement.

TASK OBJECTIVES

The main emphases of the literature review will be placed on:

- 1.1) Experimental input data collection includes downhole conditions, wellbore characteristics, nominating chemicals
- 1.2) Shale barriers validations using logging techniques with the intent to qualify the formed shale barrier.
- 1.3) Techniques and approaches to stimulate and activate shale in decommissioned wells
- 1.4) Theoretical and experimental lab investigations to confirm the design of the studies in task 3.2

TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:

An internal report summarizing the literature review on the concept of shale barriers, experimental studies, and possible activation mechanisms will be delivered. This internal report will be incorporated into the project's final report.

PROGRESS REPORT

This milestone is complete, the literature review has been completed and will be incorporated in the final report.

The literature review Executive Summary is provided below:

Executive Summary

This literature review describes the current scientific knowledge of shale creep behaviour related to petroleum well integrity and forms Milestone 1 of the project 'Assessing the long-term sealing competency of the Beetaloo Basin after gas well decommissioning'. This technical document will inform the project methodology and be incorporated into the project's publicly released final report.

Decommissioned petroleum wells

After petroleum wells have reached the end of their serviceable life, the final stage of the well life cycle is to seal and decommission the wells. Community concerns have been expressed broadly about the potential for decommissioned wells to leak from subsurface geological layers into an overlying groundwater aquifer or to the surface. Therefore, an improved understanding of how the integrity performance of decommissioned wells in the Beetaloo basin is expected to evolve over the long term is important.

Traditionally, wellbore barriers in decommissioned wells are constructed by employing annular cement, steel casing and cement plugs to provide complete zonal isolation. The engineering properties of the materials used in casing and cement are well understood; however, the characteristics of the geological formations are variable. Observations of sonic and ultrasonic logs in some fields have shown that some formations, shale in particular, could creep into open spaces (such as a well annulus). This behaviour would be advantageous in maintaining long-term well integrity.

This project aims to quantify the extent to which the shale geological formations through which the wells are drilled in the Beetaloo basin could be expected to either remain static over time or if the formations could be expected to creep and close around a well, thus providing a seal between the well and the surrounding rock. Implications for well design and decommissioning will be considered.

Creep behaviour of rocks

Creep is a time-dependent deformation (visco-elastoplastic) behaviour of rocks, which may occur in saturated, sub-saturated or dry rocks. The creep process is similar to swelling; however, swelling only happens in the presence of water and is not dependent on imposed stresses, while creep may occur with or without the presence of water and is driven by the mechanical stresses acting on the rock.

Shales are a class of sedimentary rock that can be prone to creep behaviour as they often have a relatively high clay content and relatively low stiffness, which makes deformation through internal rearrangement of particles possible under the application of stress. Mineralogy of the shale is a good indicator of the propensity of shales to exhibit creep behaviour where shales with high amounts of clay content (i.e., comparatively high smectite content, low amounts of quartz and carbonate cementation) are likely to creep.

The magnitude of the creep deformation is dependent on, but not limited to, mineralogy, applied temperature, pressure and chemistry of the fluids to which the shale is exposed.

The creep rate depends on several rock property characteristics, including shale internal structure rearrangement, particle sliding and compression, delayed water which relocates and groups shale macro-pores, failure of internal particle bonds, movement of the molecular bonds, adsorbed water flow in double layers of clay particles, and viscous adjustments of clay structure.

Shale acts as a well barrier to prevent fluid movement

The Norwegian Standard Organization (NORSOK) consider shales to be an acceptable well barrier provided that they have low stiffness and high ductility (low Young's Modulus, low cohesion, low

friction and dilation angles), high clay content (i.e., comparatively high smectite content, low amounts of quartz and carbonate cementation), moderately high porosity and low compressional wave velocity.

Artificial stimulation of creep

Studies have found that creep can also be artificially stimulated though exposing the shale to changes in pore pressure, temperature, and fluid chemistry. Two creep stimulation techniques that may be suitable for wells in the Beetaloo basin are temperature changes and exposure to engineered fluids. Changing annulus pressure is considered less suitable as it may have unintended detrimental consequences to the mechanical integrity of the shale. In Task 3 of the project, samples from the Beetaloo basin will be exposed to temperature and fluid chemistry changes to see if creep behaviour could potentially be stimulated in those rocks.

Modelling of creep behaviour

There is a range of analytical (Rheological) and numerical models that can be utilized to simulate the creep response of a shale material to downhole or artificially stimulated conditions. The suitability of each model depends on the specific shale properties, well geometries, and downhole conditions. A suitable model will be selected for inclusion in the leakage rate simulator (developed in Task 4). This model describing creep behaviour will be verified through matching with the experimental results undertaken in Task 3.

Formations identified in the Beetaloo basin to exhibit the potential for creep behaviour will then be characterized as naturally self-sealing or able to be actively stimulated to creep and the potential impact of the creep behaviour on the long-term well integrity performance.

Experimental design

The best practices identified in this literature review are being implemented into the design of the experimental campaign to assess the propensity of Beetaloo basin shale formations to exhibit creep behaviour both in downhole (in situ) and stimulated conditions.

Specimens from Beetaloo basin core samples have been extracted and are undergoing mineralogy studies and initial chemo-poro-mechanical analyses (Task 2), and samples are being prepared for triaxial compression creep tests (Task 3).

TASK 2: Evaluation of the Beetaloo shale mineralogy and chemoporomechanical properties

BACKGROUND

Shale mineralogy (mainly the amount of clay and also the proportion of other constituents, including Smectite, which acts as a bonding agent) plays a critical role in the performance of the shale to act as an appropriate barrier. In addition, the response of shale to different annular fluids chemistry influences the time-dependent creep behaviour. In order to study the swelling and shrinkage of the shale, the chemoporomechanical properties, including the determination of chemoporoeleastic properties including hydraulic diffusivity (D_h) and ionic diffusivity (D_c) should be measured.

TASK OBJECTIVES

- 2.1) Acquiring the shale core samples from the Beetaloo basin
- 2.2) Determination of core mineralogy by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Main mineral constituents and organic-matter contents will be quantified prior to the experiments
- 2.3) Commissioning the MicroRX rig
- 2.4) Sample preparation
- 2.5) Preparing the fluids test and the chemical solutions
- 2.6) Measuring the chemoporoelastic properties

TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:

An internal report summarizing the results of XRD studies, along with mineral quantifications, rig calibration, and chemoporoelastic properties will be incorporated into the project's final report.

PROGRESS REPORT

This milestone is complete.

- 2.1) **Acquiring the shale core samples from the Beetaloo basin**

Shenandoah-1A well core samples have been collected from the target formations in the Beetaloo sub-basin, Velkerri and Kyalla formations, from the Northern Territory Geological Survey (NTGS) core library in Darwin. The acquired samples were obtained from the depth of approximately 1590 m and 2316-2511 m to encompass the aforementioned target formations.

The stratigraphy of the Beetaloo sub-basin, the schematics of Shenandoah-1A well, along with the Hylooger images of core trays in NTGS were incorporated in the internal milestone report for task 2.
- 2.2) **Determination of core mineralogy by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Main mineral constituents and organic-matter contents will be quantified prior to the experiments**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) examinations have been completed on samples obtained from the Velkerri and Kyalla formation. Powder X-ray diffraction was applied in this study to determine the mineralogical composition of shale samples. In addition, XRF analyses quantified the characteristic X-rays emitted by components in a sample, which led to determining and measuring the sample's chemical composition.

The outcomes of these examinations were incorporated in the internal milestone report for task 2.
- 2.3) **Commissioning the MicroRX rig**

MicroRx has been fully commissioned and is currently operational. The re-commissioning procedures and the measures taken to modify the rig were incorporated in the internal milestone report for task 2.
- 2.4) **Sample preparation**

The MicroRX specimens (cylinders with 4.47 mm diameter and 4-5 mm length) were prepared (via coring, sanding, etc.) to run the chemoporoelastic tests.

2.5) **Preparing the fluids test and the chemical solutions**

Solutions containing Sodium Chloride (NaCl) and Water (reverse osmosis water) with two different salinity concentrations were prepared and measured accurately before each test. Due to the osmotic effects, a higher concentration of Sodium Chloride (NaCl) leads to less swelling and less increase in water content compared to a lower NaCl concentration which can be attributed to the osmotic relations between shale pore water and clay interlayer space.

The scale of NaCl concentrations and the associated osmotic pressure descriptions were incorporated in the internal milestone report for task 2.

2.6) **Measuring the chemoporoelastic properties**

Measuring the chemoporoelastic properties of samples obtained from Velkerri and Kyalla formations has been completed.

The governing equations, experimental procedures and interpretation of the results were incorporated into the internal milestone report for this task.

Variations to Project Order

Changes to research Project Orders are approved by the GISERA Director, acting with authority, in accordance with the GISERA Alliance Agreement. Any variations above the GISERA Director's delegation require the approval of the relevant GISERA Research Advisory Committee.

The table below details variations to research Project Order.

Register of changes to Research Project Order

| DATE | ISSUE | ACTION | AUTHORIZATION |
|------|-------|--------|---------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

As Australia's national science agency and innovation catalyst, CSIRO is solving the greatest challenges through innovative science and technology.

CSIRO. Unlocking a better future for everyone.

Contact us

1300 363 400
+61 3 9545 2176
csiro.au/contact
csiro.au

For further information

1300 363 400
gisera.csiro.au

GISERA is a collaboration between CSIRO, Commonwealth and state governments and industry established to undertake publicly-reported independent research. The purpose of GISERA is to provide quality assured scientific research and information to communities living in gas development regions focusing on social and environmental topics including: groundwater and surface water, greenhouse gas emissions, biodiversity, land management, the marine environment, and socio-economic impacts. The governance structure for GISERA is designed to provide for and protect research independence and transparency of research.