

# **Project Order, Variations and Research Progress**

Project Title: Baseline assessment of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin

This document contains three sections. Click on the relevant section for more information.

Section 1: Research Project Order as approved by the GISERA WA Regional

Research Advisory Committee before project commencement

Section 2: Variations to Project Order

Section 3: Progress against project milestones

























# 1 Original Project Order



# **Project Order**

# Proforma 2020

# 1. Short Project Title

Basel	Baseline assessment of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin						
Long Project Title		Е	Baseline assessment of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin				
GISEI	RA Project Number	E	3.6				
Prop	osed Start Date	C	1/08/2020				
Prop	osed End Date	2	8/02/2021				
Proje	ect Leader	C	Chris Pavey				
2.	GISERA Region						
	Queensland		New South Wales		Northern Territory		
	South Australia		Western Australia		Victoria		
3.	GISERA Research Pro	gram					
	Water Research		GHG Research		Social & Economic Research		
	<b>Biodiversity Research</b>		Agricultural Land		Health Research		
			Management Research				



# 4. Project Summary

## Objective

The project will provide an assessment of the current state of knowledge of the composition and distribution of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin. It will use this information to both understand gaps in knowledge and recommend further investigations to fill these gaps. The main outputs will be lists (in the form of databases) of all species of plants and animals recorded from the Canning Basin as well as lists of species that are classified as threatened at a national and state level and that are of cultural significance.

### Description

The project will undertake a desktop assessment to identify the plants and animals that occur in the Canning Basin and to gain a sound understanding of where within the Basin they occur and in what relative abundance. Species and threatened ecological communities that are of conservation or cultural significance will be identified as part of the list compilation process. Gaps in existing knowledge will then be identified. This aspect of the study will focus both on the species level (identifying species or groups of species that are poorly known) and on geography (identifying locations that are poorly sampled). The research will then develop approaches to fill these knowledge gaps.

### **Need & Scope**

A baseline knowledge of the biodiversity of a region is an essential component for assessing the risk of any potential future development scenario(s). The biodiversity baseline will be used to identify: (a) the occurrence of threatened species listed nationally under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation (EPBC) Act of 1999 and in Western Australia under the Biodiversity Conservation (BC) Act, and (b) hotspots of high biodiversity value. This information is essential for the completion of a Strategic Assessment or similar type of regional-scale assessment of environmental impact.

Existing knowledge of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin is patchy. Information is available as a result of a series of previous projects and more informal data capture from community-based projects; however, these records have never been aggregated and interrogated at the scale of the Basin. A major reason for this is that the Canning Basin is a geological unit and biodiversity assessments have been undertaken at smaller geographical scales that are more meaningful from a biological perspective (such as the regions identified in the Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia; IBRA).

The project will accumulate existing sources of information and undertake mapping of species' occurrence to develop a detailed assessment of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin. The project will provide a high-level framework that will set the baseline for further research. The funding and timeframes do not allow for significant data interpretation and analysis. The information obtained will underpin all future work and will identify areas and species to target for future assessments.



## Methodology

The first task of the project will be a desktop study that provides a baseline assessment of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin at the species level. This phase will include a literature review, interrogation of existing databases and discussions with key stakeholders both in the research sector and in Aboriginal organisations. The anticipated sources of information include, but are not limited to, the following:

- records in the Atlas of Living Australia;
- records in the database for Threatened Flora, Fauna and Ecological Communities maintained by Western Australia's Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions;
- records for birds held by BirdLife Australia;
- unpublished data of scientists from the WA Museum; WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions; and from other organisations and individual naturalists who are active (or have been active) in the region.
- publicly available knowledge of culturally significant plants and animals (accessed from the scientific literature and unpublished documents)

Species lists and occurrence records will be assembled into a database for all species of plants and animals recorded in the Canning Basin.

The second task of the project will involve interrogation of the database developed in task 1. The database will be matched against lists of threatened species to identify which of the species of plants and animals occurring in the Canning Basin are listed as threatened nationally (EPBC Act) and/or in Western Australia (BC Act). At this stage, threatened ecological communities present within the Canning Basin will also be identified. The database interrogation will also involve identifying culturally significant species. Species of cultural significance will be identified from the literature and from information provided by informants in task 1. These species will include bush foods as well as species of totemic and ceremonial significance.

The database assembled in task 1 will then be used to identify poorly known species and groups of species. This task will seek to clarify which species/species groups would be expected to occur more widely or more frequently in the Canning Basin than indicated by the number of records in the database. This assessment will be based on the project team's expert knowledge and it will be used to assemble a list of inadequately surveyed species/species groups. This list will be used in developing recommendations for further survey, particularly of species listed as threatened.

Mapping of species records will be undertaken in task 4 to identify hotspots of species' records and geographical areas that have not been adequately surveyed.

The final task will involve recognising critical gaps in current knowledge and providing a range of options for further work that can fill these gaps. This will be delivered as a final report.



# 5. Project Inputs

#### Research

The focus of this research project (as stated above) will be to gain a fuller understanding of what is known and unknown in terms of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin and to synthesise this knowledge so that it can provide a baseline against which environmental change can be assessed. The current project will facilitate understanding of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin to gain an understanding of potential future development impacts that may eventually lead to full scale assessment.

There is a considerable amount of available information, but it has not previously been synthesised to provide understanding at the scale of the Canning Basin. For example, the Atlas of Living Australia contains 207,418 individual bird records and 5,876 individual reptile records for the regions covered by the Canning Basin. Records indicate that 392 species of birds, 97 mammals, 236 reptiles and 33 frogs occur (or once occurred) in the Basin. The current project will use this existing information to gain a coherent understanding of patterns in the richness and distribution of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin, to identify key gaps in this knowledge and to develop targeted future investigations that will fill these gaps.

Later, if onshore gas development is progressed this initial study will serve as a valuable data repository to consider more in-depth baseline work.

### **Resources and collaborations**

Researcher	Time Commitment (project as a whole)	Principle area of expertise		Organisation
Chris Pavey	15 days	Terrestrial ecology: threatened species, impact mitigation, fauna survey	30 years	CSIRO Land and Water
Eric Vanderduys	27 days	Terrestrial ecology: fauna survey, GIS, species distribution modelling	30 years	CSIRO Land and Water

Subcontractors (clause 9.5(a)(i))	Time Commitment (project as a whole)	Principle area of expertise		Organisation
N/A				



# **Budget Summary**

Source of Cash Contributions	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	% of Contribution	Total
GISERA	\$41,118	\$0	\$0	75%	\$41,118
- Federal Government	\$41,118	\$0	\$0	75%	\$41,118
Total Cash Contributions	\$41,118	\$0	\$0		\$41,118
Source of In-Kind Contribution	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	% of Contribution	Total



# 6. Project Impact Pathway

Activities	Outputs	Short term Outcomes	Long term outcomes	Impact
Desktop research on biodiversity.	Scientific knowledge presented as lists (databases) of all species of plants and animals and of threatened and culturally significant species, and a report including guidelines for future research.	Increased scientific understanding of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin.	Government regulators have scientific knowledge of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin that can form the basis for future decision making.	Baseline     understanding of     biodiversity that will     inform all subsequent     decision making in the     Canning Basin.
Stakeholder engagement	Engagement with community, regulators and industry through GISERA website, media and face-to-face meetings.	Increased stakeholder awareness of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin.	Community gains awareness of and input into understanding of the key biological components of the Canning Basin.  Industry gains important early understanding of the issues related to biodiversity conservation in the Canning Basin.	<ul> <li>Improved understanding of culturally important components of biodiversity.</li> </ul>



# 7. Project Plan

# **Project Schedule**

ID	Activities / Task Title	Task Leader	Scheduled Start	Scheduled Finish	Predecessor
	(should match activities in impact				
	pathway section)				
Task 1	Compilation of species records	Eric Vanderduys	1 August 2020	31 October 2020	Nil
Task 2	Identification of significant species	Chris Pavey	1 October 2020	30 November 2020	Task 1
Task 3	Identification of poorly surveyed species	Eric Vanderduys	1 October 2020	31 December 2020	Task 1
Task 4	Identification of geographical gaps in	Eric Vanderduys	1 October 2020	31 December 2020	Task 1
	sampling				
Task 5	Final Report providing outline of options	Chris Pavey	1 January 2021	28 February 2021	Tasks 1 to 4
	for further biodiversity sampling				



# **Task description**

Task 1

**TASK NAME:** Compilation of species records

**TASK LEADER:** Eric Vanderduys

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 August to 31 October 2020 (3 months)

**BACKGROUND:** A large amount of data exists on the biodiversity of the Canning Basin, but this has not been compiled and interrogated cohesively. The Atlas of Living Australia data indicates that there are over 750 species of vertebrate animals in the Canning Basin.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To compile available published and unpublished records of plants and animals of the Canning Basin.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The outputs will be: a) lists of species of plants and animals that have been recorded in the Canning Basin; and b) data on occurrence and relative abundance (number of records) of each species. The deliverables will be a database and a report (following completion of task 5).

#### Task 2

**TASK NAME:** Identification of significant species

**TASK LEADER:** Chris Pavey

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 October to 30 November 2020 (2 months)

**BACKGROUND:** Significant species include those of conservation significance (listed under the Commonwealth's EPBC Act and Western Australia's BC Act) and those of cultural significance to Aboriginal people.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To identify which species that occur in the Canning Basin are of conservation and cultural significance.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The outputs will be lists of: a) species of plants and animals that are classified as threatened nationally (EPBC Act) and/or in Western Australia (BC Act); b) species of plants and animals that are culturally significant to Aboriginal people; and c) ecological communities that are classified as threatened. The deliverables will be a database and a report (following completion of task 5).

### Task 3

**TASK NAME:** Identification of poorly sampled species

**TASK LEADER:** Eric Vanderduys

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 October to 31 December 2020 (3 months)

**BACKGROUND:** Because there has not been a systematic baseline survey of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin, the approach to compiling species lists and occurrence records being undertaken as task 1 will be



incomplete. Therefore, it is necessary to use expert knowledge to identify species and groups of species that will be more widespread or more common than indicated.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To assemble a list of under-represented (as a result of inadequate survey effort) species and groups of species for the Canning Basin, with an emphasis on threatened species.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The output will be a list of species and groups of species that are expected to be more common and/or widespread in the Canning Basin than indicated by existing data. The deliverables will be a database and a report (following completion of task 5).

#### Task 4

TASK NAME: Identification of geographical gaps in sampling

TASK LEADER: Eric Vanderduys

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 October to 31 December 2020 (3 months)

**BACKGROUND:** Because there has not been a systematic baseline survey of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin, not all areas have been adequately surveyed for biodiversity. Therefore, it is important to identify the geographic locations that have been undersampled.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To map the occurrence of records of species of plants and animals in the Canning Basin to identify both biodiversity hotspots and undersampled geographical locations.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The output will be a list of areas in the Canning Basin that have not been adequately sampled for biodiversity. The deliverables will be a map (or series of maps) showing the location of species' records.

#### Task 5

TASK NAME: Final Report providing outline of options for further biodiversity sampling

**TASK LEADER:** Chris Pavey

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 January to 28 February 2021 (2 months)

**BACKGROUND:** The current project will provide an assessment of the current state of knowledge of the composition and distribution of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin. In addition to consolidating available information, it will identify gaps in knowledge that will need attention. The project team will be in a good position to provide recommendations for the way forward.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To outline options for future survey programs in the Canning Basin that focus on filling gaps in poorly sampled geographic locations and on poorly known species/groups of species.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The output of this final task will be a series of options for additional survey work. The specific deliverable will be a final report.



# **Project Gantt Chart**

			2020-2021						
Task	Task Description	Task Leader	Aug-20	Sept-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21
1	Compilation of species records	Eric Vanderduys							
2	Identification of significant species	Chris Pavey							
3	Identification of poorly surveyed species	Eric Vanderduys							
4	Identification of geographical gaps in sampling	Eric Vanderduys							
5	Final Report providing outline of options for further biodiversity sampling	Chris Pavey							



# 8. Technical Reference Group

Experts who will be invited to participate in the Technical Reference Group are:

- Dr Stephen van Leuwin, Assistant Director, Science, Western Australia Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth, WA.
- Dr Joe Benshemesh, Researcher, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria.
- Dr Ron Firth, Director and Principal, Western Ecological Services, Perth, WA.
- Theia Energy (representative to be determined)
- A representative from the ranger network (which will be arranged through the Kimberly Land Council)

### 9. Communications Plan

Stakeholder	Objective	Channel	Timeframe (Before,
		(e.g. meetings/media/factsheets)	during at completion)
Aboriginal organisations	To establish working	Meetings	During task 1 & again
(Kimberley Land Council,	relationship, discuss		near completion of
Kanyirninpa Jukurrpa	project objectives		project
etc.)	and then key findings		
Regulators in Western	To establish working	Meetings	During task 1 & again
Australia	relationship, discuss		near completion of
	project objectives		project
	and then key findings		
All stakeholders	To communicate	Fact sheets (including development	At project
	project objectives	of one at commencement of project	commencement and at
	and progress	which will explain in plain English	project completion.
		the objective of the project and	
		another at project completion).	
		Project progress reported on GISERA	Periodically
		website to ensure transparency for	
		all stakeholders including regional	
		communities.	
Regional Community/	To report on key	Final Report	At completion
Wider public,	findings		
Government, Scientific			
community and Industry			



# 10. Budget Summary

Expenditure	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
Labour	\$51,224	\$0	\$0	\$51,224
Operating	\$3,600	\$0	\$0	\$3,600
Subcontractors	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Expenditure	\$54,824	\$0	\$0	\$54,824

Expenditure per Task	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
Task 1	\$27,005	\$0	\$0	\$27,005
Task 2	\$6,003	\$0	\$0	\$6,003
Task 3	\$7,255	\$0	\$0	\$7,255
Task 4	\$6,381	\$0	\$0	\$6,381
Task 5	\$8,130	\$0	\$0	\$8,130
Total Expenditure	\$54,824	\$0	\$0	\$54,824

Source of Cash Contributions	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
Federal Government (75%)	\$41,118	\$0	\$0	\$41,118
<b>Total Cash Contributions</b>	\$41,118	\$0	\$0	\$41,118

In-Kind Contributions	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total
CSIRO (25%)	\$13,706	\$0	\$0	\$13,706
Total In-Kind Contributions	\$13,706	\$0	\$0	\$13,706

	Total funding over all years	Percentage of Total Budget
Federal Government Investment	\$41,118	75%
CSIRO Investment	\$13,706	25%
Total Other Investment		
TOTAL	\$54,824	100%



Task	Milestone Number	Milestone Description	Funded by	Start Date (mm-yy)	Delivery Date (mm-yy)	Fiscal Year Completed	Payment \$ (excluding CSIRO contribution)
Task 1	1.1	Compilation of species records	GISERA	Aug-2020	Oct-2020	2020/21	\$20,291
Task 2	2.1	Identification of significant species	GISERA	Oct-2020	Nov-2020	2020/21	\$4,502
Task 3	3.1	Identification of poorly surveyed species	GISERA	Oct-2020	Dec-2020	2020/21	\$5,441
		Identification of geographical gaps in	GISERA	Oct-2020	Dec-2020	2020/21	\$4,786
Task 4	4.1	sampling					
		Final report providing outline of options	GISERA	Jan-2021	Feb-2021	2020/21	\$6,098
Task 5	5.1	for further biodiversity sampling					



# 11. Intellectual Property and Confidentiality

Background IP (clause	Party	Description of	Restrictions on use	Value
11.1, 11.2)		Background IP	(if any)	
				\$
				\$
Ownership of Non-	CSIRO.			
Derivative IP (clause				
12.3)				
Confidentiality of	Project Results are r	not confidential.		
Project Results				
(clause 15.6)				
Additional	Not Applicable.			
Commercialisation				
requirements (clause				
13.1)				
Distribution of	Not Applicable.			
Commercialisation				
Income				
(clause 13.4)				
Commercialisation	Party		Commercialisation In	terest
Interest (clause 1.1)	CSIRO	·	N/A	
	Other	·	N/A	



# **2 Variations to Project Order**

Changes to research Project Orders are approved by the GISERA Director, acting with authority provided by the GISERA National Research Management Committee, in accordance with the National GISERA Alliance Agreement.

The table below details variations to research Project Order.

# **Register of changes to Research Project Order**

Date	Issue	Action	Authorisation



# 3 Progress against project milestones

Progress against milestones are approved by the GISERA Director, acting with authority provided by the GISERA National Research Management Committee, in accordance with the <a href="National GISERA">National GISERA</a> Alliance Agreement.

Progress against project milestones/tasks is indicated by two methods: Traffic Light Reports and descriptive Project Schedule Reports.

1. Traffic light reports in the Project Schedule Table below show progress using a simple colour code:

#### Green:

- Milestone fully met according to schedule.
- Project is expected to continue to deliver according to plan.
- Milestone payment is approved.

#### Amber:

- Milestone largely met according to schedule.
- Project has experienced delays or difficulties that will be overcome by next milestone, enabling project to return to delivery according to plan by next milestone.
- Milestone payment approved for one amber light.
- Milestone payment withheld for second of two successive amber lights; project review initiated and undertaken by GISERA Director.

### Red:

- o Milestone not met according to schedule.
- Problems in meeting milestone are likely to impact subsequent project delivery, such that revisions to project timing, scope or budget must be considered.
- Milestone payment is withheld.
- Project review initiated and undertaken by GISERA Regional Research Advisory Committee.
- 2. Progress Schedule Reports outline task objectives and outputs and describe, in the 'progress report' section, the means and extent to which progress towards tasks has been made.



# **Project Schedule Table**

ID	Activities / Task Title	Task Leader	Scheduled Start	Scheduled Finish	Predecessor
Task 1	Compilation of species records	Eric Vanderduys	1 August 2020	31 October 2020	Task 1
Task 2	Identification of significant species	Chris Pavey	1 October 2020	30 November 2020	Task 2
Task 3	Identification of poorly surveyed species	Eric Vanderduys	1 October 2020	31 December 2020	Task 3
Task 4	Identification of geographical gaps in sampling	Eric Vanderduys	1 October 2020	31 December 2020	Task 4
Task 5	Final Report providing outline of options for further biodiversity sampling	Chris Pavey	1 January 2021	28 February 2021	Task 5



# **Project Schedule Report**

#### Task 1

**TASK NAME:** Compilation of species records

TASK LEADER: Eric Vanderduys

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 August to 31 October 2020 (3 months)

**BACKGROUND:** A large amount of data exists on the biodiversity of the Canning Basin, but this has not been compiled and interrogated cohesively. The Atlas of Living Australia data indicates that there are over 750 species of vertebrate animals in the Canning Basin.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To compile available published and unpublished records of plants and animals of the Canning Basin.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The outputs will be: a) lists of species of plants and animals that have been recorded in the Canning Basin; and b) data on occurrence and relative abundance (number of records) of each species. The deliverables will be a database and a report (following completion of task 5).

PROGRESS REPORT: This task is complete.

Published and unpublished records of plants and animals from the Canning Basin have been compiled from all accessible sources and amalgamated in an Excel spreadsheet. Lists of plants and animals in the Canning Basin have been prepared along with details on the locations and relative abundance of each species.

#### Task 2

**TASK NAME:** Identification of significant species

TASK LEADER: Chris Pavey

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 October to 30 November 2020 (2 months)

**BACKGROUND:** Significant species include those of conservation significance (listed under the Commonwealth's EPBC Act and Western Australia's BC Act) and those of cultural significance to Aboriginal people.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To identify which species that occur in the Canning Basin are of conservation and cultural significance.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The outputs will be lists of: a) species of plants and animals that are classified as threatened nationally (EPBC Act) and/or in Western Australia (BC Act); b) species of plants and animals that are culturally significant to Aboriginal people; and c) ecological communities that are classified as threatened. The deliverables will be a database and a report (following completion of task 5).

# **PROGRESS REPORT:**

This task is complete now. Significant species have been identified in a series of tables.

#### Tack 3

**TASK NAME:** Identification of poorly sampled species

TASK LEADER: Eric Vanderduys

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 October to 31 December 2020 (3 months)



**BACKGROUND:** Because there has not been a systematic baseline survey of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin, the approach to compiling species lists and occurrence records being undertaken as task 1 will be incomplete. Therefore, it is necessary to use expert knowledge to identify species and groups of species that will be more widespread or more common than indicated.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To assemble a list of under-represented (as a result of inadequate survey effort) species and groups of species for the Canning Basin, with an emphasis on threatened species.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The output will be a list of species and groups of species that are expected to be more common and/or widespread in the Canning Basin than indicated by existing data.

The deliverables will be a database and a report (following completion of task 5).

#### **PROGRESS REPORT:**

This task is complete now. Poorly sampled species have been identified.

#### Task 4

TASK NAME: Identification of geographical gaps in sampling

**TASK LEADER:** Eric Vanderduys

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 1 October to 31 December 2020 (3 months)

**BACKGROUND:** Because there has not been a systematic baseline survey of the biodiversity of the Canning Basin, not all areas have been adequately surveyed for biodiversity. Therefore, it is important to identify the geographic locations that have been undersampled.

**TASK OBJECTIVES:** To map the occurrence of records of species of plants and animals in the Canning Basin to identify both biodiversity hotspots and undersampled geographical locations.

**TASK OUTPUTS AND SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** The output will be a list of areas in the Canning Basin that have not been adequately sampled for biodiversity. The deliverables will be a map (or series of maps) showing the location of species' records.

### **PROGRESS REPORT:**

This task is complete now. We have prepared a series of maps showing the distribution of species records. A map has been produced for each of the major groups of animals and for plants as a whole.