

# Project Order

## Proforma 2011

### 1. Short Project Title (less than 15 words)

Project 1 - Geochemical response to re-injection

Long Project Title	Understanding and quantifying the geochemical response to re-injection of CSG water permeates, brines and blends
GISERA Project Number	W1 1114
Proposed Start Date	July 2011
Proposed End Date	June 2014
Project Leader	Henning Prommer

### 2. GISERA Research Program

- |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity Research     | <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Research            | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Research |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Research | <input type="checkbox"/> Social & Economic Research |  |

### 3. Research Leader, Title and Organisation

Henning Prommer  
Principal Researcher  
CSIRO Land & Water  
0,68 FTE

### 4. Summary (less than 300 words)

Injection of reverse osmosis treated production water from coal seams into surrounding aquifers may provide the most viable measure to dispose of production water. The geochemical dis-equilibrium between the injectant water composition and the prevailing mineral inventory will drive a range of mineral reactions that must be clearly understood and quantified in order to anticipate and manage future water quality changes at both the local

and the regional scale. This project is aimed at (i) data analysis and experimental work that provides an advanced characterisation of the reactivity of the sediment material of aquifers targeted for re-injection (ii) the development of a reactive transport modelling framework that will allow the analysis and prediction of water quality changes resulting from reinjection of treated CGS waters and (iii) the evaluation and improvement of the reactive transport modelling framework during analysis of laboratory and field-trial data from selected target aquifers.

#### 5. Budget Summary (From Excel Budget Pack worksheet "Project Plan Summary")

Expenditure	2011/12 Year 1	2012/13 Year 2	2013/14 Year 3	2014/15 Year 4	2015/16 Year 5	Total
Labour	79,788	262,111	254,016		-	595,915
Operating	70,542	120,000	94,785		-	285,327
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>150,330</b>	<b>382,111</b>	<b>348,801</b>		-	<b>881,242</b>
CSIRO	150,330	382,111	348,801		-	881,242
<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<b>150,330</b>	<b>382,111</b>	<b>348,801</b>		-	<b>881,242</b>

Expenditure per Task	2011/12 Year 1	2012/13 Year 2	2013/14 Year 3	2014/15 Year 4	2015/16 Year 5	Total
Task 1	57,998				-	57,998
Task 2		42,457				42,457
Task 3	92,332					92,332
Task 4		169,827				169,827
Task 5			155,023			155,023
Task 6		127,370				127,370
Task 7			155,023			155,023
Task 8		42,457				42,457
Task 9			38,755			38,755
<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<b>150,330</b>	<b>382,111</b>	<b>348,801</b>		-	<b>881,242</b>

Cash Funds to Project Partners	2011/12 Year 1	2012/13 Year 2	2013/14 Year 3	2014/15 Year 4	2015/16 Year 5	Total
CSIRO	120,264	305,689	279,041			704,994
Sub Total	120,264	305,689	279,041			704,994
<b>Total Cash to Partners</b>	<b>120,264</b>	<b>305,689</b>	<b>279,041</b>			<b>704,994</b>

Source of Cash	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	Total
----------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------

Contributions	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
Australia Pacific LNG	120,264	305,689	279,041			704,994
<b>Total Cash Contributions</b>	120,264	305,689	279,041			704,994

In-Kind Contribution from	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	Total
Partners	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
CSIRO	30,066	76,422	69,760			176,248
<b>Total In-Kind Contribution from Partners</b>	11,600	18,466	76,422	69,760		176,248

	Total funding over all years	Percentage of Total Budget
Australia Pacific LNG Investment	704,994	80%
Total Partner Investment	176,248	20%
Total Other Investment		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>881,242</b>	<b>100%</b>

Task	Milestone Number	Milestone Description	Funded by	Participant Recipient	Start Date (mm-yy)	Delivery Date (mm-yy)	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Quarter	Payment \$
Task 1	1.1	Project established and reference panel set up	GISERA	CSIRO	15.11.2011	1.2.2012	2011/12	3	57,998
Task 2	2.1	Assessment of mineralogical and other geochemical characterization and analytical data collected by APLNG from core samples	GISERA	CSIRO	15.11.2011	1.12.2012	2012/13	2	42456.78
Task 3	3.1	Construction and initial setup of laboratory columns for cores collected from representative target aquifers	GISERA	CSIRO	15.11.2011	1.4.2012	2011/12	4	92,332
Task 4	4.1	Operation of column experiments to experimentally investigate geochemical reactions for selected aquifer types	GISERA	CSIRO	1.4.2012	1.3.2013	2012/13	3	169827.1
Task 5	5.1	Model-based analysis of geochemical data collected during the laboratory-scale column experiments	GISERA	CSIRO	1.9.2012	1.8.2013	2013/14	1	155022.7
Task 6	6.1	Preliminary, pre-trial modelling studies to support the design and monitoring strategy of selected field-scale injection experiments	GISERA	CSIRO	1.12.2011	1.8.2012	2012/13	1	127370.3
Task 7	7.1	Model-based analysis (reactive transport modelling) of hydrochemical data collected during injection trials at representative target aquifers	GISERA	CSIRO	1.7.2012	1.9.2013	2013/14	1	155022.7
Task 8	8.1	Workshops with CSIRO-APLNG for interim reporting and quality assurance	GISERA	CSIRO	1.12.2011	1.6.2013	2012/13	4	42456.78
Task 9	9.1	Shortcourse on modelling geochemical changes	GISERA	CSIRO	1.3.2013	1.12.2013	2013/14	2	38755.67



## 6. Other Researchers (include organisations)

Researcher	Time Commitment (project as a whole)	Principle area of expertise	Years of experience	Organisation
Henning Prommer	0.68 FTE	Analysis and quantification of geochemical changes during aquifer recharge	>20	CSIRO/UWA
Evelien Martens	1.00 FTE	Reactive transport modelling	5	CSIRO
Dr Bradley Patterson	0.32 FTE	Design, operation and analysis of laboratory and field experiments	>20	CSIRO
Dr Leif Wolf	0.20 FTE	Reinjection research integration, risk assessment	>12	CSIRO
Andrew Furness	0.80 FTE	Construction of experimental facilities and operation of experiments	5	CSIRO

## 7. GISERA Objectives Addressed

Research that improves and extends knowledge of environmental impacts and opportunities of CSG-LNG projects, enabling the CSG-LNG industry to better meet the expectations of relevant communities and the broader public

Informing government, regulators and policy-makers on key issues regarding policy and legislative framework for the CSG-LNG industry

## 8. Program Outcomes Achieved

Details are provided in *Section 13. Project Objectives and Outputs*

## 9. Program Outputs Achieved

Details are provided in *Section 13. Project Objectives and Outputs*

## 10. What is the knowledge gap that these research outputs will address?

The research outputs derived from this project will (i) characterise base-level ambient geochemical conditions for the key aquifer types targeted for re-injection and (ii) improve the capacity to understand, quantify and predict future water quality changes that will be induced by the re-injection of CSG-waters. The research outputs will provide key knowledge and tools to identify and manage potential negative impacts.

## 1.1. How will these Research outputs and outcomes be used by State Government and other water managers to achieve Adaptive Management of Water Resources?

The research outputs and outcomes will help to inform government, regulators and policy-makers on key issues regarding policy and legislative framework for the CSG-LNG industry, particularly in the area of water quality changes resulting from the re-injection of treated CSG waters. The project will develop and illustrate methodologies on how the geochemical impacts of re-injection can be assessed and predicted.

## 1.2. Project Development (1 page max.)

The project was developed in consultation between Australia Pacific LNG (Andrew Moser, Ryan Morris, and Rebecca Pickering) and CSIRO. Current activities of the Queensland Water Commission and DERM were considered and discussed with Randall Cox and Sanjeev Pandey (QWC), who confirmed the need for the proposed activities.

Recent public discussion centres on the remaining uncertainty in groundwater impact predictions, which result from the current lack of robust geochemical data. In addition, there is incomplete understanding on whether re-injection could lead to a serious deterioration of the prevailing water quality, which could, for example, be created by an undesired mobilisation of trace metals.

The aquifer characterization and experimental work carried out in this study will greatly minimise these existing uncertainties. Laboratory-scale studies are proposed in order to create well-controlled experimental conditions that exclude the impact of hydrogeological and geochemical heterogeneity. The field-scale component of the proposed work is needed to test the acquired understanding and quantification framework and to assess upscaling effects between laboratory and field-scale behaviour. Based on this knowledge, adaptive management strategies can be developed.

The work builds strongly on past and ongoing research activities of the project team that address related issues arising from the injection of reverse osmosis treated waters during the ongoing groundwater replenishment trial in Perth Leederville aquifer. It also builds on the past experience of the project team in developing conceptual and numerical models on geochemical processes (such as arsenic mobilisation) that are triggered in aquifers used for aquifer storage and recovery.

## 1.3. Project Objectives and Outputs

The key objective of this project is to develop methodologies that allow the assessment and quantification of the extent of the geochemical changes that may be triggered by the reinjection of treated CSG waters. Another key objective is to illustrate the use of these techniques such that in the future they can be used on a routine basis for assessments under varying hydrogeological and hydrogeochemical conditions. The project will develop both process understanding and quantification capabilities that will feed into the larger-scale impact assessments addressed by *Project 3*. Outputs include:

- Comprehensive conservative/reactive transport modelling of the pre-trial geochemical conditions of the key aquifers targeted for re-injection. This step closes important data gaps with respect to thoroughly assessing the potential for undesired geochemical changes and to manage those, should they occur.
- Experimental assessment of water rock/sediment interactions triggered by the injection of different types of CSG waters. This step will provide qualitative and quantitative information that can be used to develop conceptual models of geochemical changes.
- Development of a modelling framework for a model-based quantification of key geochemical changes triggered by the re-injection of treated CSG waters, based on the results of the geochemical characterisation and on laboratory-scale experimental results.
- In close collaboration with investigators of *Project 3*, develop modelling strategies and techniques to compliment the value of the planned field-scale injection trials carried out by Australia Pacific LNG. To achieve this, pre-trial modelling studies will be carried out that are aimed at assisting the experimental design and the corresponding monitoring plan. The novel techniques developed in this step will be applicable in the future to asses additional hydrogeological and geochemical settings (target aquifers).
- After completion of the field trials, evaluate the reactive transport modelling framework developed for the column experiments for the model-based interpretation of the data collected in the field trial. Identify and investigate upscaling issues that are most likely to arise when moving from lab- to field-scale. This step will also provide important information for further upscaling to regional-scale impact assessments as addressed by *Project 3*.



## 14. Project Plan

### 14.1 Project Schedule

ID	Task Title	Task Leader	Scheduled Start	Scheduled Finish	Predecessor
Task 1	Report on project establishment and set up of reference panel.	Leif Wolf	15.11.2011	1.2.2012	
Task 2	Compilation and assessment of mineralogical and other geochemical data collected by APLNG from core samples	Henning Prommer or Bradley Patterson (to be decided)	15.11.2011	1.12.2012	Task 1
Task 3	Construction and initial setup of laboratory columns for cores collected from representative target aquifers	Bradley Patterson	15.11.2011	1.4.2012	Task 1
Task 4	Operation of column experiments	Bradley Patterson	1.4.2012	1.3.2013	Task 3
Task 5	Model-based analysis of geochemical data collected during the laboratory-scale column experiments	Henning Prommer	1.9.2012	1.8.2013	Task 2, Task 4
Task 6	Preliminary, pre-trial modelling studies to support the design and monitoring strategy of selected field-scale injection experiments	Henning Prommer	1.12.2011	1.8.2012	Task 1
Task 7	Model-based analysis (reactive transport modelling) of hydrochemical data collected during injection trials at representative target aquifers	Henning Prommer	1.7.2012	1.9.2013	Task 2, Field trials operated by APLNG
Task 8	Workshops with CSIRO-APLNG for interim reporting and quality assurance	Leif Wolf	1.12.2011	1.6.2013	

<b>Task 9</b>	Shortcourse on geochemical transport modelling to assess and quantify re-injection impacts	Henning Prommer	1.3.2013	1.12.2013	Task 3, Task 7
---------------	--	-----------------	----------	-----------	----------------

### Task 1.

**TASK NAME: Project set up & management**

**TASK LEADER:** Leif Wolf

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2011/12

- Establish a project reference panel
- Provide and control linkages with related projects
- Refine work plan according to bi-annual Australia Pacific LNG-CSIRO discussions

### Task 2.

**TASK NAME: Geochemical characterisation of selected target aquifers**

**TASK LEADER:** Henning Prommer or Bradley Patterson (to be discussed/decided)

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2011/12

**BACKGROUND:** The evolution of water quality changes in aquifers targeted for re-injection depends on the hydrogeological properties that control the pathways, the degree of physical mixing of injected and ambient water, and the inventory and reactivity of the minerals that constitute the aquifer matrix. To date only insufficient knowledge and data exist, in particular for the latter. To close this gap CSIRO and Australia Pacific LNG have selected a suite of analytical methods that will be used for a detailed geochemical characterisation of sediment/rock samples collected during the ongoing and future core recovery activities. Sample collection and chemical/mineralogical analysis is coordinated with Australia Pacific LNG. Within Task 2 the collected data will be compiled and assessed. The mineralogical characterisation includes XRD/XRF analysis of multiple samples per injection interval. Furthermore, samples will be analysed for chrome reducible S (SCr), total C (TC), total organic C (TOC), total inorganic C (TIC). ICP-AES for extractable Fe, Al and Mn and for 18 transition elements (Ag, As, B, Ba, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Sn, V, Zn).

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Gain a comprehensive understanding of the mineralogical/elemental composition and potential reactivity of the aquifer material that constitutes selected aquifers targeted for re-injection.

**TASK OUTPUTS:** Geochemical characterisation of selected target aquifers

**SPECIFIC DELIVERABLE:** Report

### Task 3.

**TASK NAME:** Construction and initial set up of laboratory columns

**TASK LEADER:** Bradley Patterson

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2011/12

**BACKGROUND:** Depending on the size, type and condition of the core samples collected from selected target aquifers the experimental setup required for the flow through column experiments needs to be designed and tested. Given that the recovered core material is anticipated to consist mostly of consolidated material, cores will potentially need to be embedded in resin and a suitable construction of sampling ports need to be designed and tested.

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Design and test suitable experimental setup for controlled flow through experiments.

**TASK OUTPUTS:** Final experimental setup and monitoring plan for the column experiments.

### Task 4.

**TASK NAME:** Operation of column experiments

**TASK LEADER:** Bradley Patterson

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2012/13

**BACKGROUND:** The columns will presumably be operated in a saturated up flow mode at a flow rate of 50 mL/day, which corresponds to a Darcy velocity of 5 cm/day (assuming a porosity of 0.3). This will lead to a column residence time of ~ 6 days. Groundwater, from the location at which the core was collected, will initially be passed through the column for a period of ~30 days to stabilize groundwater/aquifer chemistry prior to the introduction of reverse osmosis (RO) water. Once groundwater effluent concentrations and physical parameters (pH, Eh, EC and DO) have stabilized, RO water will be passed

through the column at a flow rate of 50 mL/day, and changes in water chemistry monitored. To identify the level of RO water processing on geochemical changes different RO waters will be sequentially introduced (~ every 3 months) into the columns. The RO waters used will be (i) deoxygenated RO water mixed with higher EC water, (ii), deoxygenated RO water and (iii) oxygenated RO water.

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Determine experimentally the geochemical reactions that occur during passage of different types of treated CSG waters

**TASK OUTPUTS & SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** Experimental data and initial conceptual models of water-sediment/rock interactions.

#### Task 5.

**TASK NAME:** Model-based analysis of experimental data collected during the laboratory-scale column experiments

**TASK LEADER:** Henning Prommer

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2012/13

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Development and testing of conceptual models and translation into reactive transport models that can simulate the water quality evolution observed during the laboratory-scale column experiments.

**TASK OUTPUTS:** Capabilities/tools to quantitatively describe major geochemical processes.

**SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** Aquifer-specific PHT3D reaction modules for key target aquifers, calibrated models.

#### Task 6.

**TASK NAME:** Pre-trial modelling studies

**TASK LEADER:** Henning Prommer

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2011/12

**BACKGROUND:** The experimental setup/design, the type of collected data and the timing of sampling events all have a significant impact on how strongly they are able to constrain the development and calibration of the numerical models that are aimed at providing process-based quantitative descriptions of the coupled flow, transport and reactive processes. The value of the data collected during a laboratory and/or field experiment is therefore greatly enhanced through pre-trial

modelling studies in which experimental designs are evaluated and rigorously compared and improved through “Data worth” analysis.

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Optimise value of field-scale injection trials.

**TASK OUTPUTS:** Optimised experimental design in terms of (i) numbers and types conservative/reactive tracers to be used (ii) tracer amendment duration and (iii) proposed monitoring frequencies

**SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** Preliminary models, monitoring plans, manuscript on using data worth analysis to optimise tracer injection experiments

#### Task 7.

**TASK NAME: Model-based analysis of field-scale injection trials**

**TASK LEADER:** Henning Prommer

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2012/13

**BACKGROUND:** The field-scale re-injection trials will provide the opportunity to evaluate and further improve the conceptual and numerical models that will be developed during the analysis of the column experiments. In contrast to the column experiments, in which the flow field is well-controlled, flow and transport in the field will be affected by hydrogeological heterogeneity. In the initial phase the break through behaviour of conservative tracers will be analysed and the model(s) parameterised accordingly. The reaction model(s) developed for the column experiments will provide the starting point for the development and calibration of the field-scale models. Scaling-effects are anticipated as, for example, field-scale reaction rates are found typically to be slower.

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Evaluate and further develop aquifer-specific reactive transport models that provide a process-based description of coupled flow, transport and reactive processes.

**TASK OUTPUTS & SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** Final, aquifer-specific conceptual and numerical models for the geochemical responses to treated CSG water re-injection, report/manuscript(s).

#### Task 8.

**TASK NAME: CSIRO-Australia Pacific LNG workshops**

**TASK LEADER:** Leif Wolf

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2011/13

**TASK DESCRIPTION:** Twice a year, CSIRO-Australia Pacific LNG workshops will be held with the project reference panel.

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Review project progress, identification of necessary adjustments to the workplan. The workshops will be used to summarize knowledge for the GISERA reporting duties.

**TASK OUTPUTS & SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** Workshop results documented and distributed to project partners.

**Task 9.**

**TASK NAME:** Modelling shortcourse

**TASK LEADER:** Henning Prommer

**OVERALL TIMEFRAME:** 2013/14

**BACKGROUND:** The modelling approaches developed within this project on the basis of specific sites will be applicable to hydrogeological and hydrogeochemical settings. A short course will be developed and delivered to inform and educate professionals, regulators and other researchers.

**TASK OBJECTIVE:** Illustrate and transfer the knowledge and tools developed within this project.

**TASK OUTPUTS & SPECIFIC DELIVERABLES:** Short course material and (modelling) short course

## 15. Budget Justification

The budget for this project has been approved by GISERA's Research Advisory Committee and Management Committee.

## 16. Project Governance

Project management tasks and dissemination activities are specified in item 14.

## 17. Communications Plan

General communication will be managed by GISERA.

The pathway to impact for this project includes:

- The research in this project is being carried out in cooperation with Australian Pacific LNG experts who currently conduct injection trials, and the project has already led to improvements in the design of Australia Pacific LNG's injection trial program. By this means the work is directly informing existing industry trials and is building industry science capacity.
- Other industry experts (Santos, Arrow, QGC) need to conduct similar injection trials and have indicated their interest in participating in this work in future. These avenues for collaboration will continue to be pursued. By this means, the work is poised to extend its impact to the CSG-LNG industry as a whole, across a broader commercial and geographic base.
- The large data sets derived from the injection trials will provide for state of the art estimates of long-term geochemical responses to reinjection, that frequently occur on long time spans, e.g. decades to millennia. Clearly, the work addresses both the immediate and long-term research goals of GISERA.
- The modelling undertaken in this project will enable results from trials of limited duration to be extended to longer time frames. This provides a key public good benefit in that it will enable robust estimations of potential impacts to be made well before they have become apparent. Consequently, the project will be informing environmental risk management decisions well ahead of long term monitoring programs.
- This work will inform updates and application of regulations like the CSG Water Management - Injection Guidelines which was recently drafted by the Queensland Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM). The openly published project reports will provide empirical information on the spatial and temporal extent to which geochemical reactions in the subsurface will have a measurable impact on water quality. This will supplement the modelled estimates that have been used to derive existing guidelines. Existing relationships with the regulator ensures that they are kept informed of project results as the project progresses.
- The work will also inform optimisation of the pre-treatment of the injectant (e.g. is deoxygenation necessary?) and possibly reduce the energy demands of pre-treatment. Information enabling this to occur will be provided by the direct participation of

industry stakeholders in the project and, of course, the public access to research results.

- Direct technical cooperation with the industry experts in the planning of the trials, the collection of samples and the analysis of the results ensures effective knowledge transfer.
- For broader public benefit, stakeholder workshops with government agencies, interested communities and GISERA representatives will be organised.
- The results will be disseminated at national and international conferences as well as a number of peer reviewed journal papers.
- PhD students will be integrated into the work program to allow for direct capacity building. The lead researcher in the project, Prof. Dr. Henning Prommer lectures at the University of Western Australia and is an active participant in the National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training (NCGRT). Upon commencement of the GISERA project, he will start joint research with an already identified University PhD candidate on the modelling of the injection trials.

### 18. Risks

At this stage no major risks particular to this project are foreseen.

Capacity to deliver: Henning Prommer and Bradley Patterson have both individually sufficient experiences to lead and supervise the various activities and ascertain the research outcomes. Therefore the impact of key staff departure is low and could be mitigated.

### 19. Intellectual Property and Confidentiality

Background IP (clause 10.1, 10.2)	Party	Description of Background IP	Restrictions on use (if any)	Value
	CSIRO	Reactive transport modelling, hydrogeological expertise and know how, experience with geochemical characterisation of (injection) target zones + predictions and analysis of geochemical changes, column	None	